

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	PATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/796,604	03/08/2004		Richard S. Bein	355492-2971	1765
38706	7590	10/30/2006		EXAMINER	
	LARDNER LI	LP	SAMALA, JAGADISHWAR RAO		
1530 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CA 94304				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				1618	
				DATE MAILED: 10/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/796,604	BEIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jagadishwar R. Samala	1618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· s action is non-final.				
,	, —				
closed in accordance with the practice under I	•				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application	l .	•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8) Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar ,				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document		Sala Ala			
2. Copies of the partition against of the prior					
 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea 	*	ed in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed .			
	of the certified depice flot receive				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/796,604 Page 2

Art Unit: 1618

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-16 are, drawn to a composition comprising a biocompatible polymer, a biocompatible solvent and water-insoluble, biocompatible contrast agent, classified in class 424, subclass 9.4.
- II. Claims 17-21 are, drawn to a method for embolizing a blood vessel by delivering a biocompatible polymer, a biocompatible solvent and a water-insoluble, biocompatible contrast agent, via a catheter, classified in class 424, subclass 9.4
- III. Claims 22 and 23 are, drawn to a kit comprising an embolic composition and a catheter to attenuate or arrest blood flow, classified in class 424, subclass 9.4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case group I are drawn to pharmaceutical composition for embolizing blood vessels which are particularly well-

Application/Control Number: 10/796,604

Art Unit: 1618

suited for treating vascular lesions, while group II are drawn to a method for embolizing a blood vessel by delivering the composition via a catheter. Invention of group II can be practiced by materially different product (US 6,964,657 and US 5,695,480).

Page 3

- 3. Inventions I and III are directed to patentably distinct product related as product and a kit. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed in group III, can have a materially different design, mode of operation and function. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.
- 4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

 Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

 All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process Art Unit: 1618

claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Page 4

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 1618

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Conclusion

- 1. No claims are allowed at this time.
- 2. All pending claims are subjected to restriction/election requirements.
- Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to

Application/Control Number: 10/796,604

Art Unit: 1618

Jagadishwar R. Samala whose telephone number is (571)272-9927. The examiner can

normally be reached on 8.30 A.M to 5.00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571)272-0616. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jagadishwar R Samala

Examiner

Art Unit 1618

sjr

VIČKIE KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6